

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/633,269	Applicant(s) LEEPER ET AL.	
	Examiner LEE NGUYEN	Art Unit 2618	

All Participants:

(1) LEE NGUYEN.

(2) MICHAEL PROKSCH (43,021).

Status of Application: After first office action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2006
Time: 12:30 pm
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Typographical error in claim 14 has been corrected with the examiner's amendment.
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)